

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,199	04/25/2001	Winfried Maier	225/49630	3649	
23911	7590 05/13/2003				
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LE, DAVID D		
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3681		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application N. Application N. Application N. Applicant(s) Examiner David D Le Servinier Sand T Unit David D Le At Unit Board D Le ACTION MAIL INIG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Editorics of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFE 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be three from the communication in the provision of t		- Auglication N	L Applicant/o				
Examiner		Application N .					
David D. Le 3681	Offic Action Summany						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Leatreators do ten may be available under be precisioned of 3 CFR 1.138(a). In oevent, however, may a nepty be timely filed at 150,000 for the communication of 150 cfr 1.138(a). In oevent, however, may a nepty be timely filed at 150,000 for the communication of 150 cfr 1.138(a). In oevent, however, may a nepty be timely filed at 150,000 for the communication of 150 cfr 1.138(a). In oevent, however, may a nepty be timely filed at 150,000 for the communication of 150 cfr 1.138(a). In oevent, however, may a nepty be timely filed at 150,000 for the communication of 150 cfr 1.138(a). In oevent, however, may a nepty be timely filed at 150,000 for the set of the communication of 150 cfr 1.138(a). In oevent, health lock, may reduce the precision of the communication of the commu	Onic Action Summary						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the Period for reply specified specified in the communication. If the Period for reply specified specified in the communication. If the Period for reply specified specified in the communication. If the Period for reply specified specified in the communication. If the Period for reply specified specified in the period of the period of the period for reply with property of the period for reply with property of the period for reply with property of the period of the period for reply with property of the period for reply with property of the period property of the period property with property of the period property of the period property with property of the period property with property of the period property with property of the period property of the period property with property of the period propert	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be validable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the statistory period under the mailing date of this communication. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the statistory period under period the reply within the set of extended period for reply within the set of period for reply set of period for reply within the set of period for reply within the set of period for reply within the set of period for reply set of formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sitton of Claims 4) Claim(s)		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-48 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 25 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) paproved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a) cepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 10 The translation (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	<u>anuary 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 55 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Poratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 11 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6 Claim(s) 12-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-48</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other:							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	/ 						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	•						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·—						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:	<u> </u>						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal					

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

This is the second Office action on the merits of Application No. 09/763,199, filed on 25 April 2001. Claims 12-48 are pending.

Documents

- 1. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
 - Declaration and Power of Attorney, received on 04/25/01
 - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 04/25/01
 - Priority Document, received on 04/25/01
 - Preliminary Amendment, received on 04/25/01
 - Amendment, received on 01/21/03

Specification

- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
 - Claim 34, lines 6-7, recites the limitation "a metallic base material". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the specification for claim 34.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 12-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12-48:

- Claim 12, line 5, recites the limitation "a metallic basic material".
- Claim 34, lines 6-7, recites the limitation "a metallic base material".
- Claims 44, line 7, also recites the limitation "a metallic base material".

It is unclear whether there is any difference between the recited "a metallic <u>basic</u> material" and the recited "a metallic <u>base</u> material".

It is also unclear on the exact type of material that the applicant is referring to as "a metallic basic material".

Presently, the specification fails to provide adequate description of either "a metallic basic material" or "a metallic base material", as recited in the claims.

For the purpose of examining the merits of these claims, Examiner assumes that there is no difference between the recited "a metallic <u>basic</u> material" and the recited "a metallic base material".

Art Unit: 3681

Claim 13:

Claim 13 is further indefinite because it recites the limitation " a γ '-connecting layer ". This limitation is first recited in claim 12. Accordingly, it is considered as double inclusions and should be referred to as --said γ '-connecting layer--.

Claim 14:

Claim 14 is further indefinite because it recites the limitation " a ϵ -connecting layer ". This limitation is also first recited in claim 12. Accordingly, it is considered as double inclusions and should be referred to as --said ϵ -connecting layer--.

Claims 35 and 45:

Claims 35 and 45 are further indefinite because they recite the limitation " a non-metallic γ '-connecting layers ". This limitation is first recited in claims 34 and 44, respectively. Accordingly, it is considered as double inclusions and should be referred to as --said non-metallic γ '-connecting layers--.

Claims 36 and 46:

Claims 35 and 45 are further indefinite because they recite the limitation " a non-metallic ε -connecting layers ". This limitation is also first recited in claims 34 and 44, respectively. Accordingly, it is considered as double inclusions and should be referred to as --said non-metallic ε -connecting layers--.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12-14, 18-23, 30-36, and 40-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,560,461 to Loeffler in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,531,984 to Madsac et al.

Claims 12-14, 18-23, 30-36, and 40-48:

Loeffler (i.e., Figs. 1-2, column 3, line 46 – column 5, line 59) discloses a multiple cone synchronizer for use in facilitating gear shifting in vehicle transmission comprising:

- An outer synchronizer ring (60);
- An center synchronizer ring (70);
- An inner synchronizer ring (80); and
- A plurality of friction surfaces (77, 78, 86);
- Wherein each of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80) has conical surfaces and they are connected at least indirectly to one another;



Art Unit: 3681

Loeffler lacks:

- At least one of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80) including a metallic basic material;
- Wherein at least one of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80) includes the
 metallic basic material which is nitride-hardened in such a way that, by
 process parameters being set during nitride-hardening, one of a non-metallic
 γ'-connecting layer and a non-metallic ε-connecting layer is formed on a
 conical surface of at least one of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80);
- Wherein the γ '-connecting layer is formed which includes Fe₄N;
- Wherein the ε-connecting layer is formed which includes Fe₂N or Fe₃N;
- Wherein a nitriding depth is 200 to 800 μm;
- Wherein the γ '-connecting layer and the ϵ -connecting layer are 1 to 20 μ m thick;
- Wherein the γ '-connecting layer and the ϵ -connecting layer are 10 μ m;
- Wherein the non-metallic ε-connecting layer of Fe₂N or Fe₃N is formed on said friction surfaces;
- Wherein the non-metallic γ '-connecting layer of Fe₄N is formed on said friction surfaces;
- Wherein the metallic basic material of at least one of the synchronizer rings is a hardenable steel;

Art Unit: 3681

Madsac (i.e., column 1, line 10 – column 4, line 40) discloses a surface hardening process for metal parts by nitriding comprising:

- An ε-connecting layer of Fe₂N or Fe₃N (i.e., column 2, lines 60-68);
- An γ '-connecting layer of Fe₄N (i.e., column 2, lines 60-68);
- Nitriding layer thickness ranging from 250 μm to 500 μm (i.e., column 4, lines 2-40);
- Wherein the ε -connecting layer and γ '-connecting layer thickness are ranging from 10 μ m to 35 μ m (i.e., column 4, lines 2-40);
- Wherein the metal part is made of hardenable steel (i.e., column 4, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Loeffler friction surfaces to include the ϵ and the γ -connecting layers such that the nitriding layer thickness would range from 250 μ m to 500 μ m and the ϵ -connecting layer and γ -connecting layer thickness would range from 10 μ m to 35 μ m and Loeffler synchronizer rings to be made out of hardenable steel, in view of Madsac, in order to improve the overall fatigue and seizure characteristics of the synchronizer rings as well as to specifically increase the resistance to wear and corrosion of the friction surfaces of the synchronizer rings.

Art Unit: 3681

7. Claims 15-17, 24-29, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loeffler in view of Madsac et al. as applied to claims 12-14, 19-23, 32-36, and 41-48 above, and further in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,105,374 to Kamody and U. S. Patent No. 4,618,049 to Pflaum et al.

Claims 15-17, 24-29, and 37-39:

Loeffler in view of Madsac discloses all elements and limitations as set forth in claims 19-23, 32-36, and 41-48. Regarding claims 15-18, 24-31, and 37-40, Loeffler lacks:

- Wherein at least one of the synchronizer rings is plasma-nitride-hardened;
- Wherein the metallic basic material of at least one of the synchronizer rings is a sintered material;
- Wherein the metallic basic material of at least one of the synchronizer rings is a sinter-forged material;

Pflaum (i.e., column 4, lines 5-36) discloses a synchronizer ring being made out of materials including sintered steel and sinter-forged material.

Kamody (i.e., column 1, line 60 – column 2, line 29) discloses a process of nitriding metal-containing materials comprising:

- Steel nitriding process;
- Hardenable steel nitriding process; and
- Plasma nitriding process;

Art Unit: 3681

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Loeffler synchronizer rings such that the synchronizer rings would be made out of either hardenable steel or plasma or sintered steel or sinter-forged steel, as appropriate, in view of Pflaum and Kamody in order to further improve the overall fatigue and seizure characteristics of the synchronizer rings as well as to specifically increase the resistance to wear and corrosion of the friction surfaces of the synchronizer rings.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Anderson et al. (U. S. Patent No. 3,901,740) teaches a method producing nitrided boron steel parts.

Laurence et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,244,375) teaches plasma ion nitrided stainless steel press plates and application for same.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199

Art Unit: 3681

Japanese Patent (No. JP 61038223 A) teaches a synchronizer ring of a transmission, wherein the synchronizer ring is made of iron, by forging, casting or sintering.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 703-305-3690. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0700-1530).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

⊕vD′ ddl

May 7, 2003

RODNEY H. BONCK PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 368/